Section I: AQMD BACT Determinations

Application No.: 397357

Equipment Category - Catalyst Regeneration--FluidizedCatalytic Cracking Unit

1.	GENERAL INFORMATION			DATE: 9/7/2004				
A.	MANUFACTURER:							
B.	TYPE:	C.	MODEL:					
D.	STYLE:	<u> </u>						
E.	APPLICABLE AQMD RULES: 404, 407, 409, 11	105, Reg.	XX-REC	CLAIM				
F.		CE OF COST DA						
G.	OPERATING SCHEDULE: 24 HRS/DA	ΑY	7 DA	YS/WK	52	2 WKS/YR		
2.	EQUIPMENT INFORMATION			APP. NO.: 397	357			
Α.	lighter products that can be used as g polymerization operations. There is is regenerated by burning off the carbunfired waste heat recovery boiler an	gasoline b a catalyst bon. The	lendstock regenera exit gas f	s and feedsto tion section, from this sec	ocks to in whic tion pas	alkylatior ch coked o sses throu	and catalyst	
B.	SIZE/DIMENSION/CAPACITY: 51'8" Diam. x 81			1 1		,		
C.	BLOWERS:	D.	TOTAL FLO	OW RATE:	scfm	L		
E.	MATERIAL STORED/PROCESSED/HANDLED: Coked	l Catalyst						
F.	THROUGHPUT/PROCESS RATE/USAGE RATE:							
3.	COMPANY INFORMATION			APP. NO.: 397	357			
A.	NAME: BP West Coast Products				В.	SIC CODE:	1794	
C.	ADDRESS: 1801 East Sepulveda Blvd. CITY: Carson		STATE: C	'A	^{ZIP:} 9	0745		
D.	CONTACT PERSON: Eugene Sekiguchi			E. PHONE NO.:	310-8	316-8407		
4.	PERMIT INFORMATION			APP. NO.: 397	357			
A.	AGENCY: SCAQMD	В.	APPLICAT	ION TYPE: mod	ification	1		
C.	AGENCY CONTACT PERSON: Angelita Alfons	Ю		D. PHONE NO.:	909-3	396-2255		
E.	PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT/OPERATE INFORMATION:	P/C NO.:	397357	ISS	SUANCE DA	TE: 5/1/20	002	
	CHECK IF NO P/C	P/O NO.:		ISS	SUANCE DA	TE:		
F.	START-UP DATE: March 13, 2003							

5.	EMISSION INFORMATION APP. NO.: 397357
Α.	PERMIT
A1.	PERMIT LIMIT: Ammonia-10 ppmvd@0%O2. Emissions from regenerator (PPMV corrected to
	0% O2): NOx-40 (365-day rolling avg.) and 72 (7-day rolling avg.), SOx-162 (365-day
	rolling avg.) and 236 (7-day rolling avg.), CO-500 (24-hr avg. until 12-31-2004, then 1-hr
	avg.). PM-0.1 grn/scf.
A2.	BACT/LAER DETERMINATION: Control of ammonia slip to 10 ppmvd@3%O2 from SCR unit on
	FCCU regenerator gas. NOx, SOx and CO limits are being negotiated with USEPA (see
	below, Section 6).
A3.	BASIS OF THE BACT DETERMINATION: Control of ammonia slip to 5 ppmvd@3%O2 had been required
	on SCR units treating boiler flue gas; however, 10 ppmvd@3%O2 was allowed in this case
	due to larger fluctuations in the inlet NOx level.
В.	CONTROL TECHNOLOGY
B1.	MANUFACTURER/SUPPLIER: Mitsubishi system/Cormetech catalyst
B2.	TYPE: Selective Catalytic Reduction
B3.	DESCRIPTION: Flue gas from ESPs passes through catalyst bed. Ammonia is injected into the
	flue gas upstream of the ESPs to improve PM removal by ESPs, and additional ammonia
	can be injected immediately upstream of the catalyst bed. Catalyst promotes reaction of
	ammonia with NO, producing N2 and H2O. Overall reactor dimensions are 29'-6" W x 48'-
	9.5" H x 28'-11.5" L. Ammonia system is aqueous (29.9%) with evaporator and carrier air.
	Reactor design temperature range is 525-680F.
B4.	CONTROL EQUIPMENT PERMIT APPLICATION DATA: P/C NO.: 412719 ISSUANCE DATE: 5/8/2003
	P/O NO.: ISSUANCE DATE:
B5.	WASTE AIR FLOW TO CONTROL EQUIPMENT: FLOW RATE: 185,000 scfm (design)
	ACTUAL CONTAMINANT LOADING: 155 ppmvd@3%O2 BLOWER HP:
B6.	WARRANTY:
B7.	PRIMARY POLLUTANTS: NOx, CO, VOC, PM10
B8.	SECONDARY POLLUTANTS: NH3
B9.	SPACE REQUIREMENT:
B10.	LIMITATIONS: B11. UNUSED
B12.	OPERATING HISTORY: The unit has been in normal operation since startup with the exception of
	the early June through early September 2004 during which period the SCR unit was
	bypassed to permit cleanout of the catalyst bed.
B13.	UNUSED B14. UNUSED
C.	CONTROL EQUIPMENT COSTS
C1.	CAPITAL COST: CHECK IF INSTALLATION COST IS INCLUDED IN CAPITAL COST
	EQUIPMENT: $\$$ INSTALLATION: $\$$ (NA) SOURCE OF COST DATA:
C2.	ANNUAL OPERATING COST: \$ (NA) SOURCE OF COST DATA:
D.	DEMONSTRATION OF COMPLIANCE
D1.	STAFF PERMFORMING FIELD EVALUATION:
	ENGINEER'S NAME: INSPECTOR'S NAME: DATE:

5.	EMISSION INF	ORMATION		APP.	NO.: 3973	357		
D2.	COMPLIANCE DEMONSTRATI	ON:						
D3.	VARIANCE: NO	. OF VARIANCES: 1	[DATES: March	n 2003			
	CAUSES: During star	tup with new SC	R system in			ks caused	l by S	SCR back
	pressure.							
D4.	VIOLATION: NO	OF VIOLATIONS: No.	ne	DATES:				
	CAUSES:							
D5.	MAINTENANCE REQUIREMEN	TS:					D6.	UNUSED
D7.	SOURCE TEST/PERFORMANO	E DATA RESULTS AND A	NALYSIS:					
		/8/03, 12/12/03,		J 4	RE EFFICIENC	Y:		
	DESTRUCTION EFFICIENCY: SOURCE TEST/PERFORMANCE	E DATA:	(VERALL EFFICIEN	CY:			
	OGGINGE TEGTIN EIN GRAMPING		,		ICD O 4			
		9/8/03			CR Outl			
		SCR Outlet	SCR Inlet	12/12/03	2/6/04	5/7/04		
	ck Temp., F	576						
Flo	w, dscfm	174,684						
O2	(%)	3.0						
CO	2 (%)	16.4						
NO	x, ppmvd@0%O2	9.1						
SO	x, ppmvd@0%O2	42.8	45.9					
CO	, ppmvd@0%O2	32.3						
NH	[3, ppmvd@3%O2	0.48	22.0	2.0	8.4	3.3		
Tot	al PM, grn/dscf	.018						
Sol	id PM, grn/dscf	.017						
	OPERATING CONDITIONS:	Normal						
	TEST METHODS: AQMI	O Methods 100.1	, 5.2, 6.1, 20)7.1. Metho	d 100.1 v	was a sin	gle-p	oint, one
	hour test. The stack was checked for stratification and cyclonic flow in July 2003. The test							
	report was approved by AQMD's Monitoring & Source Test Engineering group.							

6. COMMENTS

APP. NO.: 397357

The original application for the SCR unit was A/N 397358; A/N 412719 was for a modification to allow bypassing of the unit when needed for repairs.

The NOx, CO and SOx limits in the permit were based on a legal settlement between BP and USEPA (consent decree civil No. 2:96 CV 095 RL, 8/29/2001). The NOx and SOx lmits were based on a 12-month test using a deNOx catalyst and SOx absorbant in the regenerator. The CO limit was an NSPS (40CFR60, subpart J). These limits are still being negotiated with EPA.

The facility contact stated that NOx in the SCR inlet flue gas is approximately 40 ppm and that NOx in the SCR outlet gas normally runs at about 2 ppm. The source test company requested that the facility reduce ammonia injection for the gaseous emissions portion of the test to bring the NOx into a more measureable range. The NOx level in the outlet gas is expected to approximately double over the five year estimated life of the catalyst.

The facility finds it difficult to meet the PM limit while also meeting the ammonia slip limit. At times when increased amounts of ammonia are required for ESP performance, they must increase NOx production in the regenerator (by adding more combustion promoter) to maintain slip below its limit.

The facility has found that the SCR catalyst bed plugs more quickly than had been expected and will probably require annual cleanouts. The pluggage is caused by catalyst fines carrying over from the ESPs.